## NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

## IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

## **DIVISION SEVEN**

DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, LOS ANGELES COUNTY,	
Plaintiff and Respondent,	
v.	
DAVID R.,	
Defendant and Appellant.	

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Nancy Brown, Judge. Dismissed as abandoned.

Lowell H. Orren, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

In July 2000 a 17-year-old minor Alexandria T. complained her brother-in-law, David R. (the father of the children in this case), had been sexually molesting her since age 10. David R. was arrested. David R. had four children of his own, Victor R., age 10; Anthony R., age eight; Nicholas R., age five; and Alida R., age one. A juvenile dependency petition was filed concerning the four children on October 19, 2000. At the initial hearing the juvenile court ordered the children to remain with their mother.

At the jurisdictional hearing, the juvenile court heard Alexandria T.'s testimony about her sexual molestation. The court also heard evidence that David R. had exposed Victor R. to the sexual molestation and that David R. kept pornography and numerous loaded, unlocked firearms in the family residence, exposing the children to those items. The children's mother was unable to protect the children from the danger created by the children's exposure to the molestation, pornography and firearms. The court sustained the petition as to all four children. (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 300, subds. (b), (c) & (d).)

At the time of disposition hearing, David R. remained incarcerated. The court declared each of the children a dependent child of the court and ordered the children to remain with their mother under the supervision of the Department of Children and Family Services. The court refused to grant David R. visitation or family reunification services.

David R. appeals from the March 8, 2001 order of dependency and the June 18, 2001 disposition orders.

We appointed counsel to represent the father on appeal. On November 19, 2001, after examining the record in the appeal, counsel filed a letter in which no issues were raised. On November 19, 2001, we sent the father a notice that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions he wished raised on appeal and that a failure to do so would result in dismissal of the appeal as abandoned.

We have received no response to date.

Accordingly, we deem the appeal abandoned and order the appeal dismissed. (*In re Sade C.* (1996) 13 Cal.4th 952.)

## NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

We concur:		PERLUSS, J.
	JOHNSON, Acting P.J.	
	WOODS, J.	